

On the uncertainty in the AEP estimates for wind farms in cold climate

Øyvind Byrkjedal Kjeller Vindteknikk oyvind.byrkjedal@vindteknikk.no

Winterwind, Östersund, 12.02.2013

Outline

- Annual variability in wind
- Calculations of wind, icing and power production for a wind power site.
- Estimation of production losses due to icing using different operating strategies.



Health risk warning:

- All results shown are based on model calculations:
 - WRF Weather Research and Forecast model
 - Icing calculations based on ISO 12494 Atmospheric icing on structures
 - Production loss calculations based on KVT model IceLoss



KVT wind index - 2012

- Southern Sweden:
 - 2-6 % higher average wind speed than for a normal year
- Northern Sweden
 - some areas with higer wind speed than for a normal year
 - some areas with lower wind speed than for a normal year





KVT wind index - 2010 and 2011



Wind power site





Wind power site



Icing conditions

- Temperatures below freezing
- cloud or fog containing small water droplets
- Something to freeze to

in-cloud icing



Icing map for Sweden:

- Average number of meteorological of icing hours of per year
- Hours when ice builds up
- Based on the period 2000-2011

www.vindteknikk.no





Icing conditions at the site



- Large annual variability in icing
- Expect large variability in the influence of icing.



Estimation of production loss due to icing

- Operating strategies during icing:
 - 1. Continue power production with iced blades
 - 2. Stop the turbine

Continue power production with iced blades:

Reduced power curve during icing

Stop the turbine:

• When ice is detected to influence the power production



Estimation of production loss due to icing

- Operating strategies during icing:
 - 1. Continue power production with iced blades
 - 2. Stop the turbine

Continue power production with iced blades:

Reduced power curve during icing

Stop the turbine:

• When ice is detected to influence the power production



Production loss

Continue production with iced blades:



Production loss with iced blades

- Annual average power production without icing: 6600 MWh
- Annual average power production with icing: 6000 MWh
- Average production loss: 600 MWh (9 % reduction in AEP)
- Annual production standard deviation (iced blades): 11.6 %



Estimation of production loss due to icing

- Operating strategies during icing:
 - 1. Continue power production with iced blades
 - 2. Stop the turbine

Continue power production with iced blades:

Reduced power curve during icing

Stop the turbine:

• When ice is detected to influence the power production



Turbine stop during icing conditions

Reasons to stop the turbine when icing is detected:

- Reduce risks related to ice throw
- Local regulations
- Reduce vibrations and fatigue loads



Production loss - stop during icing

- Annual average power production without icing: 6600 MWh
- Annual average power production with icing: **5500 MWh**
- Average production loss: 1100 MWh (17 % reduction in AEP)
- Annual production standard deviation (stop during icing): 14.7 %





Summary

- Operating strategies during icing:
 - 1. Continue power production with iced blades
 - 2. Stop the turbine



Continue power production with iced blades:

- Reduced power curve during icing
- Red curve
- Estimated production loss: 9 %

Stop the turbine:

- When ice is detected to influence the power production
- Blue curve
- Estimated production loss: 17 %

Summary

- Significant year to yer variability in wind speed
- Icing has an even higher year to year variability
- Production losses due to icing will increase the variability in annual energy production
- Calculation of production losses due to icing is dependent on the operational strategy



Icing map for Sweden available from www.vindteknikk.no



