

A Comparison of Three Different Anti- and De-Icing Techniques Based on SCADA-Data



Sandra Kolar, UU in cooperation with OX2 Supervisor: Martin Löfstrand, OX2 Subject reader: Anders Goude, UU Examiner: Petra Jönsson, UU

Aim:

Compare the performance of three anti- and de-icing systems during winter 2014/2015

Studied systems:

- -De-icing with heating resistances
- De-icing with warm air
- Anti-icing with heating resistances



Identification of ice events according to proposed standard from IEA task 19. <u>A – Loss in production</u>

Start: 3 measurements: temperature <0 °C & <P10 *Stop:* 3 measurement >P10

<u>B – Standstill + De-icing</u>

Start: 3 measurements: temperature <0 °C, 1 measurement <P10 & 2 measurements standstill Stop: 3 measurement >P10

<u>C – Overproduction</u>

Start: 3 measurements: temperature <0 °C & >P90 *Stop:* 3 measurement <P90



Gain of the systems

UPPSALA

UNIVERSITET

Gain = Losses in reference farm – Losses in evaluated wind farm



All three farms showed tendencies to improve the production. Impossible to quantify/ compare due to large uncertainties, available data.

-<u>De-icing, heating resistances</u>: little losses in reference wind farm

 <u>De icing warm air</u>: test period, few turbines & inconsistent operation
<u>Anti-icing heating resistances</u>: Lack of information and data

* Energy for operation of the ADIS is not included for de-icing warm air & anti-icing heating resistances

Examples – output during one day

1. *De-icing heating resistances* 9 starts of the system, duration 40-60 min/cycle, 50 % losses (ref. farm 81 % losses, standstill 16h)



2. De-icing warm air 3 starts of the system, duration 6 h/cycle, 77% losses

(ref. farm 34% losses, no stops)

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET



Conclusions and Future work

Operation of the systems during the studied time period

- All farms were subject to ice and losses due to ice
- All three farms showed tendencies to improve the production. (Impossible to quantify/compare due to large uncertainties, available data).
- Especially *de-icing with heating resistances* showed improvements during single ice events.
- Indications that *de-icing with warm air* was not sufficient. Because of system or test period?
- Too sparse information about the *anti-icing system* to make any conclusions
- Possible improvements of the systems regarding control, power etc?

Proposed standard

Strengths: WTG specific power curves

requirement of three following measurements to indicate starts/stops of ice event. *More information* needed about smoothing, overlapping ice events and how to handle ADIS.

Future Work

Study longer time period (icing condition + statistical basis) and variation within summer months. Possible improvements of the systems?