

## Ice accretion prediction on wind turbines based on a combined LES-LPT method

R.Z. Szasz<sup>1</sup>, L. Fuchs<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Dept. Energy Sciences, LTH, Lund University robert-zoltan.szasz@energy.lth.se <sup>2</sup>Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm

Winterwind2011, 2011.02.9-10, Umeå, Sweden



#### Goals



- Develop numerical tool to predict ice accretion
- Account for both the rotor and the tower
- Possibility to account for
  - upstream wake
  - droplet size
  - landscape



#### **Numerical methods**

#### Flow

- 3<sup>rd</sup> & 4<sup>th</sup> o. Finite differences
- Cartesian grid => efficient methods
- Solids: virtual boundary
- Turbulence => LES
- Parallell computations (MPI)

#### Droplets

- Lagrangian Particle Tracking (LPT)
- Transported by the instantaneous flow
- Equi-sized and spaced droplets
- Drag force
- Droplets hitting a solid surface freeze instantaneously

#### Case set-up



- 2 tandem WTs
- Tower height = 1 LU
- Blade length = 0.7 LU
- NACA 4415, twisted, ca. 7 degree a.o.a.

• ABL inlet velocity:

 $w(x) = Cx^{0.1}$  $\omega = 0.39 \text{ rot/s}$ 

- Tip Speed Ratio = 3.5
- 10 x 6.2 mill.cells
- Started from already converged flow case
- 10+ rotations
- 3 droplet diameters
  - 0.1 mm
  - 1 mm
  - 10 mm



#### **Average velocity components**



# Vortices (λ2)



Tip vortices Swirl Wake

- Secondary vortices
- Long lifetime of vortices
- Interaction with downstream power plants

#### **Deposited particle 'density'**



- Number of droplets deposited on the rotor blade
  - 400x400 bins
  - normalized with the total number of deposited droplets
  - d=0.1 mm
  - upstream W.T.
- Larger concentration of deposited droplets
  - leading edge
  - second third of the span





# Particle axial velocity plane parallel to ground, hub height



Lund University / LTH / Dept. Energy Sciences / Div. Fluid Mechanics / Winterwind2011 / 2011.02.09-10

## **Radial distribution of the deposited droplets**



- No visible influence of droplet radius on average distribution
- peak @ 0.05 large impact area at the hub
- second peak around r=0.35



- For downstream wind turbine (WT2)
  - less particles deposited in the hub region
  - more particles deposited at r=0.35

#### **Azimuthal distribution of droplet impact frequency**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Traces of the blades and the tower visible
- relatively symmetric (Y-axis is zoomed in)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

 Downstream WT shows more asymmetry

#### **Time evolution of droplet deposition**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Blade passages visible
- Large droplets have smoother history due to inertia
- Low frequency large amplitude variations due to the upstream wake

#### **Droplet deposition on the tower**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Blade passages visible
- Large droplets have smoother history due to inertia
- Larger variations than for the droplets deposited on the blades

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

 Low frequency large amplitude variations due to the upstream wake

## Conclusions

- Influence of droplet size
  - In average, no visible influence on the droplet distribution
  - Instantaneously, smaller droplets more sensitive to flow dynamics
- Influence of upstream wake
  - Radial distribution affected: more droplets at blade half radius
  - Large fluctuations in time due to low frequency wake oscillations

#### **Future plans**

- Include other forces (e.g. gravity)
- 2/4 way interactions
- Models for different icing conditions (instead of instantaneous droplet freezing)
- Account for the change in blade shape according to the amount of deposited ice
- Landscape effects